UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

		2008 JUL 21 AM II: 43
		CLERK US DISTRICT COUNTClerk's Office File Stamp SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
TO: Judge Miller Judge Miller		
FROM: J. Josson, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 027/10/08		
CA	SE NO.: <u>08cv115</u>	3 JM (CAB) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Robert Lundy
CA	SE TITLE: <u>Lundy</u>	v. Colmenero et al
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion for Restraining Order / Emergency Injunction		
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:		
/	Local Rule	Discrepancy
	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
	7.1	Missing table of contents
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
		Supplemental documents require court order
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X		OTHER: IFP Pending; No proof of Service.
		Date forwarded: 07/10/08
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE T IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
X J		be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
	The document is N copy of this order	NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a on all parties.
	Rejected documen	t to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers
	Counsel is advised to Local Rule 83.1	that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant CHAMBERS OF:
	Dated: 7/11/C	Ву: